1	
2	
3	Dynamics of gene expression in single root cells of A. thaliana
4	
5	
6	Ken Jean-Baptiste ¹ José L. McFaline-Figueroa ¹ Cristina M. Alexandre ¹ Michael W. Dorrity ^{1,2}
7	Lesson Sam land Kame L. Dalla Cale Tana III. Starlar Field ¹³ Chairte Orate L.
/	Lauren Saunders, Kerry L. Bubb, Cole Trapnell, Stanley Fields ", Christine Queitsch *, and
8	Josh T. Cuperus ¹ *
9	
10	*Corresponding authors: Cuperusj@uw.edu, Queitsch@uw.edu
11 12 13 14	The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (www.plantcell.org) is: Josh Cuperus (Cuperusj@uw.edu).
15 16 17 18 19	 Short title: Single-cell RNA-seq in Arabidopsis roots Department of Genome Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195. Department of Biology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195. Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195. ABSTRACT
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Single-cell RNA-seq can yield high-resolution cell-type-specific expression signatures that reveal new cell types and the developmental trajectories of cell lineages. Here, we apply this approach to <i>A. thaliana</i> root cells to capture gene expression in 3,121 root cells. We analyze these data with Monocle 3, which orders single cell transcriptomes in an unsupervised manner and uses machine learning to reconstruct single-cell developmental trajectories along pseudotime. We identify hundreds of genes with cell-type-specific expression, with pseudotime analysis of several cell lineages revealing both known and novel genes that are expressed along a developmental trajectory. We identify transcription factor motifs that are enriched in early and late cells, together with the corresponding candidate transcription factors that likely drive the observed expression patterns. We assess and interpret changes in total RNA expression along developmental trajectories and show that trajectory branch points mark developmental decisions. Finally, by applying heat stress to whole seedlings, we address the longstanding question of possible heterogeneity among cell types in the response to an abiotic stress. Although the response of canonical heat shock genes dominates expression across cell types, subtle but significant differences in other genes can be detected among cell types. Taken together, our results demonstrate that single-cell transcriptomics holds promise for studying plant development and plant physiology with unprecedented resolution.
20	

- 38
- 39

40

41

42 INTRODUCTION

Trapnell, 2015; Trapnell et al., 2014).

43 Many features of plant organs such as roots are traceable to specialized cell lineages and their 44 progenitors (Irish, 1991; Petricka et al., 2012). The developmental trajectories of these lineages 45 have been based on tissue-specific and cell-type-specific expression data derived from tissue 46 dissection and reporter gene-enabled cell sorting (Birnbaum et al., 2003; Brady et al., 2007; Li et 47 al., 2016). However, tissue dissection is labor-intensive and imprecise, and cell sorting requires 48 prior knowledge of cell-type-specific promoters and genetic manipulation to generate reporter 49 lines. Few such lines are available for plants other than the reference plant Arabidopsis thaliana 50 (Rogers and Benfey, 2015). Advances in single-cell transcriptomics can replace these labor-51 intensive approaches. Single-cell RNA-seq has been applied to heterogeneous samples of human, 52 worm, and virus origin, among others, yielding an unprecedented depth of cell-type-specific 53 information (Cao et al., 2017; Irish, 1991; Packer and Trapnell, 2018; Russell et al., 2018;

54 55

56 While several examples of single cell RNA-seq have been carried out in Arabidopsis (Efroni et 57 al., 2016, 2015; Brennecke et al., 2013), they were restricted to only a few cells or cell types. No 58 whole organ single-cell RNA-seq has been attempted in any plant species. The Arabidopsis 59 examples focused on root tips, finely dissecting the dynamics of regeneration or assaying 60 technical noise across single cells in a single cell type. Thus, a need exists for larger scale 61 technology that allows a more complete characterization of the dynamics of development across 62 many cell types in an unbiased way. Such technology would increase our ability to assay cell 63 types without reporter gene-enabled cell sorting, identify developmental trajectories, and provide 64 a comparison of how different cell types respond to stresses or drugs. Several high-throughput 65 methods have been described for sequencing of RNA at a high throughput of single cells. Most of these, including most droplet-based methods, rely on the 3' end capture of RNAs. However, 66 67 unlike with bulk RNA-seq, the data from single cell methods can be sparse, such that genes with low expression can be more difficult to study. Here, we take advantage of expression data from 68 69 root-specific reporter lines in A. thaliana (Birnbaum et al., 2003; Brady et al., 2007; Cartwright 70 et al., 2009; Li et al., 2016) to explore the potential of single-cell RNA-seq to capture the

- 71 expression of known cell-type-specific genes and to identify new ones. We focus on roots of
- 72 mature seedlings and probe the developmental trajectories of several cell lineages.

73

74

75 **RESULTS**

76

Single cell RNA-seq of whole A. thaliana roots reveals distinct populations of cortex, endodermis, hair, non-hair, and stele cells

79 We used whole A. thaliana roots from seven-day-old seedlings to generate protoplasts for 80 transcriptome analysis using the 10x Genomics platform (Supplemental Figure 1A). We 81 captured 3,121 root cells to obtain a median of 6,152 unique molecular identifiers (UMIs) per 82 cell. UMIs here are 10 base random tags added to the cDNA molecules that allow us to 83 differentiate unique cDNAs from PCR duplicates. These UMIs corresponded to the expression of 84 a median of 2,445 genes per cell and a total of 22,419 genes, close to the gene content of A. 85 thaliana. Quality measures for sequencing and read mapping were high. Of the approximately 86 79,483,000 reads, 73.5% mapped to the TAIR10 A. thaliana genome assembly, with 67% of 87 these annotated transcripts. These values are well within the range reported for droplet-based 88 single-cell RNA-seq in animals and humans.

89

90 For data analysis, we applied Monocle 3, which orders transcriptome profiles of single cells in an 91 unsupervised manner without a priori knowledge of marker genes (Qiu et al., 2017a; Qiu et al., 92 2017b; Trapnell et al., 2014). We used the 1500 genes in the data set (Supplemental Data Set 1) 93 that showed the highest variation in expression (Supplemental Figure 1B). For unsupervised 94 clustering, we used 25 principal components (PC). These 25 PCs accounted for 72.5% of the 95 variance explained by the first 100 PCs, with the first PC explaining 11% and the 25th PC 96 explaining 0.9% (Supplemental Figure 1C). Cells were projected onto two dimensions using 97 the uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) method (McInnes and Healy, 2018) 98 and clustered, resulting in 11 clusters (Figure 1A) (Blondel et al., 2008). Most clusters showed 99 similar levels of total nuclear mRNA, although clusters 9 and 11 were exceptions with higher 100 levels (Supplemental Figure 1D). Because some of the UMAP clusters, specifically clusters 9 101 and 11, consisted of cells that had higher than average amounts of nuclear mRNA, we were 102 concerned that these clusters consisted merely of cells that were doublets, *i.e.* two (or more) cells 103 that received the same barcode and that resulted in a hybrid transcriptome. As cells were 104 physically separated by digestion, it was possible that two cells remained partially attached. In 105 order to identify potential doublets in our data, we performed a doublet analysis using Scrublet



Figure 1. Annotation of cell and tissue types for single-cell RNA-seq of whole A. thaliana roots.

(A) Root cells were clustered and projected onto two-dimensional space with UMAP (McInnes and Healy, 2018). Filled circles represent individual cells; colors represent their respective Louvain component. Monocle 3 trajectories (black lines) are shown for clusters in which a trajectory could be identified. (B) Filled circles represent individual cells; colors indicate cell and tissue type based on highest Spearman's rank correlation with sorted tissue-specific bulk expression data (Brady et al., 2007; Cartwright et al., 2009). (C) Known marker genes (Brady et al., 2007; Cartwright et al., 2009) were used to cluster single-cell gene expression profiles based on similarity. The expression of 530 known marker genes was grouped into 7 clusters, using k-means clustering. Mean expression for each cluster (rows) is presented for each cell (columns). Cells were ordered by their respective Louvain component indicated above by color (see A, starting at component 1 at left). Number of genes in each cluster is denoted at right. (D) Single-cell RNA-seq pseudo-bulk expression data are compared to bulk expression data of whole roots (Li et al., 2016). (E) Single-cell pseudo-bulk expression data are compared to bulk-expression data of the three developmental regions of the A. thaliana root (Li et al., 2016). (F) Proportions of cells as annotated by either UMAP (in A), Spearman's rank correlation (in B), or Pearson's rank in Supplemental Figure 2, are compared to proportions determined by microscopy (Brady et al., 2007; Cartwright et al., 2009).

106 (Wolock et al., 2018), which uses barcode and UMI information to calculate the probability that

a cell is a doublet. This analysis identified only 6 cells, of 3,021 cells analyzed, as doublets,
spread across multiple UMAP clusters and multiple cell types (Supplemental Figure 1E).

109 Overall, given the low number of doublets, we did not attempt to remove these cells.

110

111 To assign these clusters to cell types, we performed three complementary analyses relying on 112 two expression data sets from tissue-specific and cell-type-specific reporter lines: an earlier one 113 generated with microarrays (Brady et al., 2007; Cartwright et al., 2009) and a more recent one 114 generated with RNA-seq and a greater number of lines (Li et al., 2016). We first compared the 115 microarray expression data for each reporter line to the gene expression values in each single 116 cell, using Spearman's rank correlations to assign each cell a cell type identity based on highest 117 correlation of gene expression (Figure 1B, Supplemental Data Set 2) (Brady et al., 2007; 118 Cartwright et al., 2009). Second, we compared the RNA-seq expression data to the gene 119 expression values in each single cell by Pearson's correlation (Li et al., 2016, Supplemental 120 Figure 2A). Third, we examined the expression of 530 cell-type-specific marker genes (Brady et 121 al., 2007) by defining seven marker gene clusters with k-means clustering and calculating their 122 average expression for each cell. We then compared each cell's UMAP Louvain component 123 cluster assignment (Figure 1A) with its marker-gene-based assignment. Louvain components 124 were derived using the Louvain method for community detection (Blondel et al., 2008) which is 125 implemented in Monocle 3. Unlike k-means clustering for which the user provides the desired 126 number of clusters to partition a dataset, Louvain clustering optimizes modularity (*i.e.* the 127 separation of clusters based on similarity within a cluster and among clusters), aiming for high 128 density of cells within a cluster compared to sparse density for cells belonging to different 129 clusters. The 11 clusters presented in Figure 1A optimized the modularity of the generated 130 expression data and were not defined by us.

131

In general, the UMAP clusters showed high and cluster-specific expression of marker genes. For example, cells in cluster 10 showed high and specific mean expression of cortex marker genes (Figure 1C, Supplemental Figure 3, Supplemental Data Set 3). Both expression correlations and marker gene expression allowed us to assign the Louvain components to five major groups: root hair cells, non-hair cells (containing both an early and late cluster), cortex cells, endodermis cells and stele cells (containing both xylem and phloem cells) (Figure 1A). Although some cells

138 were most highly correlated in expression with the cell type columella in Spearman's rank tests 139 and RNA-seq Pearson's correlation, these cells co-clustered with non-hair cells (Figure 1B, 140 Supplemental Figure 2). This finding is consistent with bulk RNA-seq data of sorted cells (Li et 141 al., 2016). Specifically, the PET11 (columella) -sorted bulk RNA-seq data are most similar to 142 bulk RNA-seq data sorted for GL2 and WER (Li et al., 2016), both of which mark non-hair cells 143 (Petricka et al., 2012). Therefore, these cells were grouped as early non-hair cells with other non-144 hair cells in Louvain component 8. As their expression values were best correlated with RNA-145 seq data for WER-sorted cells, they likely represent a mix of early non-hair and lateral root cap 146 cells, which have very similar expression profiles (Supplemental Figure 2).

147

148 We assessed the extent to which combined single-cell root expression data resembled bulk whole 149 root expression data (Li et al., 2016) (Figure 1D, E). We observed strong correlations between these two data sets (Pearson's correlation coefficient $[R^2]=0.52$, Spearman's $\rho=0.71$). We also 150 151 compared the combined single-cell expression data to three bulk expression data sets 152 representing the major developmental zones in the A. thaliana root: the meristematic zone, the 153 elongation zone, and the maturation zone (Figure 1E). We observed the highest correlation of single-cell and bulk expression in the elongation zone ($R^2=0.70$, $\rho=0.83$) and a lower correlation 154 in the maturation zone ($R^2=0.58$, $\rho=0.70$). This observation is surprising given the more mature 155 developmental stage of the harvested roots (Supplemental Figure 1A), and likely reflects that 156 157 younger cells are more easily digested during protoplasting and contribute in greater numbers to 158 the gene expression data. As expected, single-cell and bulk expression were poorly correlated in the meristematic zone ($R^2=0.11$, $\rho=0.43$), as meristematic tissue accounts for only a small 159 160 proportion of mature roots. Furthermore, we compared tissue-specific expression (Li et al., 2016) 161 to expression both in the annotated cell clusters and in cells expressing appropriate marker genes. 162 In general, we found strong correlations among these data sets, suggesting that the clusters are 163 annotated correctly (Supplemental Table 1).

164

165 We also compared the relative representation of root cell types between our data set and

166 estimates based on microscopy studies (Figure 1F) (Brady et al., 2007; Cartwright et al., 2009).

- 167 Independent of annotation method, we observed the expected numbers of cortex (222
- 168 Spearman's/233 UMAP), endodermis (306/304), non-hair cells (1201/1061) and columella

169 cells (111/ no UMAP cluster). Hair cells (565/ 898) were overrepresented whereas stele cells
170 (508/ 490) were underrepresented, possibly reflecting a bias in the protoplast preparation of
171 whole root tissue.

172

173 Protoplasting, the removal of the plant cell wall, alters the expression of 346 genes (Birnbaum et

al., 2003); 76 of these genes were included in the 1500 genes with the highest variation in

175 expression (Supplemental Data Set 1, Supplemental Figure 1B) that we used for clustering.

176 Some of the 76 genes showed cell-type-specific expression. To exclude the possibility that the

177 expression pattern of these genes produced artefactual clusters and cell-type annotations, we

178 removed them from the analysis and re-clustered, which resulted in a similar UMAP

179 visualization, with similar numbers of Louvain components and cell types.

180

181 Single-cell RNA-seq of identifies novel genes with cell-type and tissue-type-specific

182 <u>expression</u>

183 Some marker genes are not expressed exclusively in a single cell type, making it desirable to

184 identify additional genes with cell-type-specific expression. We first confirmed the high and

185 cluster-specific expression of well-known marker genes (Figure 2A, Supplemental Figure 4)

186 (Li et al., 2016) such as the root-hair-specific COBL9, the endodermis-specific SCR and the three

187 stele-specific genes MYB46 (xylem-specific), APL (phloem-specific), and SUC2 (phloem-

188 specific). The nonspecific expression of the quiescent center cell marker genes WOX5 and

189 AGL42 is likely due to the failure to capture sufficient numbers of these rare cells. The

190 nonspecific expression of WOL and the more heterogeneous pattern of both WER and GL2

191 expression have been previously observed (Brady et al., 2007; Winter et al., 2007).

192 Second, to find novel marker genes, we identified genes with significantly different expression

193 within and among Louvain component clusters by applying the Moran's I test implemented in

194 Monocle 3. We found 317 genes with cluster-specific expression, 164 of which were novel,

195 including at least one in each cluster (Figure 2A, Supplemental Data Set 4). Using cell-type

annotations rather than Louvain clusters, we identified 510 genes with cell-type-specific

197 expression, of which 317 overlapped with the Louvain component cluster-specific expression

198 genes, as well as an additional 125 novel genes, some of which have been implicated in the

199 development of a cell lineage in targeted molecular genetics studies.



Figure 2. Novel cluster-specific and tissue-specific genes and enriched transcription factor motifs. (A) Proportion of cells (circle size) and mean expression (circle color) of genes with cluster-specific and tissue-specific expression are shown, beginning with known marker genes labeled with their common name (right) and their systematic name (left). For novel genes, the top significant cluster-specific genes are shown, followed by the top significant tissue-specific genes; both were identified by principal graph tests (Moran's I) as implemented in Monocle 3. Note the correspondence between Louvain components and cell and tissue types. For all novel cluster-specific and tissue-specific genes, see Supplemental Table 3. (B) Enrichments of known transcription factor motifs (O'Malley et al., 2016) 500 bp upstream of genes with cluster-specific expression compared to genome background. Motifs are specific to transcription factor gene families rather than individual genes. The plot is clustered based on similarity in enrichments with Louvain components and cell and tissue determined based on similarity in enrichments with Louvain components and cell and tissue types.

200



- 202 bHLH protein that promotes vascular cell division and differentiation as part of a heterodimer
- with second bHLH protein, LHW (Katayama et al., 2015; Ohashi-Ito et al., 2014). Another stele-
- 204 specific gene, AT4G36160 (ANAC076, VND2) (cluster 7), encodes a ClassIIB NAC-domain
- 205 transcription factor that contributes to xylem vessel element differentiation by promoting
- secondary cell wall formation and programmed cell death (Tan et al., 2018). In tissue-specific
- 207 bulk data (Brady et al., 2007; Winter et al., 2007), both genes show xylem-specific expression

208 consistent with their biological functions; T5L1 expression is high only in the meristematic and 209 elongation zones, while VND2 expression starts in the elongation zone and persists throughout 210 the maturation zone. Other genes, not previously implicated in root development, show tissue-211 specific bulk expression patterns consistent with the single-cell data. For example, AT1G54940 212 (GUX4, PGSIP4), which encodes a xylan glucuronosyltransferase (Lee et al., 2012; Mortimer et 213 al., 2010), was specifically expressed in hair cells (cluster 9) and is most highly expressed in 214 cells destined to become hair cells in the elongation zone and in differentiated hair cells in the 215 maturation zone (Brady et al., 2007; Cartwright et al., 2009).

216

217 Expression of some transcription factors shows high correlation with specific cell types

We asked whether we could identify transcription factors that may contribute to the clusterspecific expression patterns. To do so, we tested for transcription factor motif enrichments in the proximal regulatory regions of genes with cluster-specific expression, examining 500 bp upstream of the transcription start site (Alexandre et al., 2018; Sullivan et al., 2014) and a comprehensive collection of *A. thaliana* transcription factor motifs (O'Malley et al., 2016). This analysis revealed significant transcription factor motif enrichments among clusters and annotated major tissues and cell types (**Figure 2B**).

225

As transcription factors in *A. thaliana* often belong to large gene families without factor-specific motif information (Riechmann et al., 2000), it is challenging to deduce the identity of the specific transcription factor that drives cluster-specific transcription factor motif enrichment and

229 expression. As an approximation, we examined transcription factors that were expressed in the

230 cluster or tissue in which a significant enrichment of their motif was found, or in neighboring

cell layers (some factors move between cells (Petricka et al., 2012)) (Supplemental Data Set 4).

232 We focused first on the small *BZR/BEH* gene family whose motif was specifically enriched in

233 cortex cells (cluster 10). Of the six genes (BEH1/AT3G50750, BEH2/AT4G36780,

234 BEH3/AT4G18890, BEH4/AT1G78700, BES1/AT1G19350, and BZR1/AT1G75080) the single

recessive *beh4*, *bes1*, and *bzr1* mutants exhibit altered hypocotyl length (Lachowiec et al., 2018).

236 Double mutant analysis suggests partial functional redundancy, which agrees with our

237 observation of overlapping expression patterns for these genes across cell types (Supplemental

Figure 5A, B). In contrast, neither *beh1* and *beh2* single mutants nor the respective double

239 mutant show phenotypic defects (Lachowiec et al., 2018). However, BEH2 was the most highly 240 expressed BZR/BEH family member across clusters and annotated root tissue and cell types 241 (Supplemental Figure 5A, B). Although BEH4, the most ancient family member with the 242 strongest phenotypic impact, showed cortex-specific expression, none of the BZR/BEH genes 243 showed significance for cluster-specific expression, suggesting that combinations of family 244 members, possibly as heterodimers, may result in the corresponding motif enrichment in cortex 245 cells (Supplemental Figure 5A, B). In particular, BES1 and BZR expression was highly 246 correlated, consistent with these genes being the most recent duplicates in the family 247 (Supplemental Figure 5C) (Lachowiec et al., 2013; Lan and Pritchard, 2016). 248 249 In contrast to the BEH/BZR gene family, we found stronger cluster specificity for some TCP 250 transcription factors. The TCP motif was strongly enriched in cortex (cluster 10), endodermis 251 (cluster 1) and stele (cluster 7). Of the 24 TCP transcription factors, we detected expression for 252 eight. Of these, TCP14 (AT3G47620) and TCP15 (AT1G69690) were expressed primarily in 253 stele (clusters 7 and 4) although this cluster-specific expression was not statistically significant 254 (Figure 2B, Supplemental Figure 5D, E, Supplemental Data Set 4). TCP14 and TCP15 are 255 class I TCP factors thought to promote development. Acting together, TCP14 and TCP15 256 promote cell division in young internodes (Kieffer et al., 2011), seed germination (Resentini et 257 al., 2015), cytokinin and auxin responses during gynoecium development (Lucero et al., 2015), 258 and repression of endoreduplication (Peng et al., 2015). Both genes are expressed in stele in bulk 259 tissue data (Brady et al., 2007; Winter et al., 2007), with TCP14 expression also observed in the 260 vasculature by *in situ* hybridization (Tatematsu et al., 2008). TCP14 can affect gene expression 261 in a non-cell-autonomous manner.

262

To further investigate the co-occurrence of cluster-specific transcription factor motif enrichments with transcription factor expression, we next examined the novel genes with significant clusterspecific expression. Eight of these encode transcription factors with corresponding highly enriched cluster-specific binding motifs. For one of these, *BRN2* (AT4G10350), cluster-specific expression coincided with enrichment of the NAC transcription factor family motif(cluster 8, non-hair and lateral root cap cells, **Figure 2B**). *BRN2* encodes a ClassIIB NAC transcription

269 factor implicated in root cap maturation together with BRN1 and SMB. Class IIB NAC

270	transcription factors are thought to contribute to terminal cell differentiation accompanied by
271	strong cell wall modifications (Bennett et al., 2010). In our data, BRN2 was most highly
272	expressed in cluster 8 (non-hair and lateral root cap cells) and less so in cluster 6 (Supplemental
273	Data Set 4).
274	
275	<u>Clustering stele cells identifies novel genes with cell-type specific expression in the</u>
276	vasculature
277	Our initial attempts to annotate and separate cell types within stele tissue with marker gene
278	expression or Spearman's rank correlations failed. Instead, we separately clustered stele cells to
279	reveal 6 sub-clusters upon UMAP visualization, with 5 sub-clusters containing more than 40
280	cells. Their annotation via Spearman's rank correlation with sorted bulk data was not successful;
281	however, using well-established marker genes expression, we detected cluster-specific
282	expression patterns (Figure 3A and B).
283	
284	Cells closely related to xylem pole pericycle constituted the largest group of cells (205 cells);
285	phloem pole pericycle cells were the second largest (84 cells). The high number of pericycle
286	cells likely reflects our experimental procedure, as these cells reside on the exterior of the
287	vascular bundle. Both phloem and xylem clusters showed similar numbers of cells (77 cells and
288	72 cells respectively); the phloem companion cells formed a distinct cluster. We observed the
289	expected sub-cluster expression for several known genes and marker genes and identified novel
290	genes with sub-cluster-specific expression (Figure 3C, D, Supplemental Data Set 1). Although
291	there was some discrepancy, especially for the APL gene, which is expressed in both companion
292	and phloem cells (Figure 3C), this is largely due to missing data.
293	
294	Pseudotime trajectories coincide with the development stages of cortex, endodermis, and
295	hair cells
296	We next sought to visualize the continuous program of gene expression changes that occurs as
297	each cell type in root differentiates. Because whole roots contain a mix of cells at varying
298	developmental stages, we reasoned that our experiment should have captured a representative
299	snapshot of their differentiation. Monocle not only clusters cells by type but also places them in
300	"pseudotime" order along a trajectory that describes their maturity. To make these trajectories,





(A) Cells initially annotated as stele tissue were re-clustered, resulting in six distinct sub-clusters cells, five of which contained more than 40 cells. (B) Mean expression for previously identified cell-type specific genes (Cartwright et al., 2009) in each cell is shown, allowing annotation of stele sub-cluster identities as shown in (A). (C) Proportion of cells (circle size) and mean expression (circle color) of genes with cluster-specific and tissue-specific expression are shown, starting with known marker genes at the top, labeled with their common name (right) and their systematic name (left). Below, novel significant tissue-specific genes are shown with their systematic names, identified by principal graph tests (Moran's I) as implemented in Monocle 3. (D) Example expression overlays for cluster-specific genes identified by the principal graph test in (C).

301 Monocle 3 learns an explicit principal graph from the single-cell-expression data through



Figure 4. Developmental trajectory of hair cells. (A) UMAP-clustered hair cells were assigned a developmental time point based on highest Spearman's rank correlation with bulk expression data of staged tissue (13 developmental stages) (Brady et al., 2007; Cartwright et al., 2009). Cell type and developmental time points are indicated in shades of blue (and pink). Graphic illustrates developmental stages in A. thaliana root (Plant Illustrations). (B) Cells were ordered in pseudotime; columns represent cells, rows represent expression of the 1500 ordering genes. Rows were grouped based on similarity in gene expression, resulting in 6 clusters (indicated left), with genes in clusters 2 and 5 expressed early in pseudotime and genes in cluster 1 expressed late. Hair cells with the earliest developmental signal (Brady et al., 2007; Cartwright et al., 2009) were designated as the root of the trajectory. The graph above represents the average best-correlation of developmental stage (Brady et al., 2007; Cartwright et al., 2009) in a scrolling window of 20 cells with pseudotime, showing the expected increase in developmental ace with increasing pseudotime. (C) Examples of an early and a pseudotime, showing the expected increase in developmental age with increasing pseudotime. (C) Examples of an early and a pseudotime, showing the expected increase in developmental age with increasing pseudotime. (C) Examples of an early and a late expressed hair-cell-specific gene. Gene expression in each cell is superimposed onto the UMAP cluster and trajectory, with lighter colors indicating higher gene expression. (D) Median total RNA captured in cells decreases across pseudotime. Number of genes included is indicated. (E) Comparison of median total RNA for hair-cell-specific genes (in red) to a comparable random set of genes (in blue). Number of genes is indicated (Permutation test p-value ≈ 1 x 10-4). (F) Different transcription factor motifs reside in the 500 bp upstream regions of genes expressed early (clusters 2, 5) compared to genes expressed late (cluster 1). Transcription factor motifs specific to early hair cells are denoted with blue bars, those for late hair cells with green bars; bar length indicates motif frequency. Thresholds on either side (grey box, dotted lines) refer to 1.5 standard deviation above mean motif frequency. (G) Expression of individual members of transcription factors families highlighted in D across pseudotime identifies candidate factors driving early or late gene expression.

302 reversed graph embedding, an advanced machine learning method (Qiu et al., 2017a; Qiu et al.,

303 2017b; Trapnell et al., 2014). To dissect the developmental dynamics of individual clusters, we 304 first focused on the well-defined root-hair cells, in which combined single-cell expression values 305 highly correlated with those from bulk protoplasts sorted for expression of the COBL9 root-hair 306 marker gene (Supplemental Table 1). To annotate the unsupervised trajectory that Monocle 3 307 created for hair cells, we used the Spearman's rank test to compare expression in all cells to bulk 308 expression data representing 13 different developmental stages in root tissues from all the 309 available sorted cell types (Supplemental Figure 6) (Brady et al., 2007; Cartwright et al., 2009). 310 Each cell was assigned the developmental stage and cell type most correlated with its expression 311 values (Figure 4A). The hair cells with the earliest developmental stage assignment were 312 designated as the root of the trajectory. Next, pseudotime was calculated for all other hair cells 313 based on their distance from the root of the trajectory (Figure 4B). We compared this calculated 314 pseudotime with the most highly correlated developmental assignment from bulk data, finding 315 close agreement (Figure 4B). Examples of genes that are expressed early and late in pseudotime 316 in the UMAP hair cluster are shown in Figure 4C.

317

318 Hair cells undergo endoreduplication as they mature, resulting in up to 16N genomic copies in 319 the developmental stages assayed (Bhosale et al., 2018). Although endoreduplication is thought 320 to increase transcription rates (Bourdon et al., 2012), general transcription might decrease as 321 hair-cell-specific genes become more highly expressed during hair cell differentiation. Single-322 cell RNA-seq affords us the opportunity to explore whether transcription rates differ across 323 development. Single-cell RNA-seq can measure both relative expression (as in bulk RNA-seq) 324 and the total number of RNA molecules per cell. The total amount of cellular mRNA was 325 drastically reduced across hair cell development (Figure 4D). This result may be due to technical 326 bias; for example, gene expression in larger, endoreduplicated cells may be more difficult to 327 assess with this droplet-based method. If so, the observed reduction in captured transcripts 328 should affect all genes more or less equally. Alternatively, this observation may reflect hair cell 329 differentiation, whereby transcription of hair-cell-specific genes should remain unaffected or 330 increase over pseudotime. Our results support the latter scenario as transcription of hair-cell-331 specific genes appears to increase over pseudotime, consistent with these cells undergoing 332 differentiation towards terminally differentiated hair cells (Figure 4E, Supplemental Figure 333 7A).

334

335 To further explore this transcriptional dynamic, we calculated RNA velocity (La Manno et al., 336 2018), a measure of the transcriptional rate of each gene in each cell of the hair cell cluster. RNA 337 velocity takes advantage of errors in priming during 3' end reverse transcription to determine the 338 splicing rate per gene and cell. It compares nascent (unspliced) mRNA to mature (spliced) 339 mRNA; an overall relative higher ratio of unspliced to spliced transcripts indicates that 340 transcription is increasing. In our data, only ~4% of reads were informative for annotating 341 splicing rates, a lower percentage than what has been used in mammalian cells for velocity 342 analyses, and thus our results may be less reliable. Based on data for 996 genes, mean RNA 343 velocity increased across pseudotime (Supplemental Figure 7B, p = 2.2 e-16 linear model, rho 344 = 0.73). This increase in velocity was associated with the predicted changes in endoreduplication 345 (Bhosale et al., 2018), especially between the 4N and 8N stages (Supplemental Figure 7C, 346 Tukey's multiple comparison p-value = 0.0477).

347

348 We also observed developmental signals in other cell types, including cortex and endodermis 349 (Figure 5A-D, Supplemental Figure 8). Combined single-cell expression values for cortex cells 350 highly correlated with those from bulk protoplasts sorted for expression of the COR cortex marker gene (Figure 5B, $R^2 = 0.74$, rho=0.86). As Monocle 3 did not identify a trajectory for 351 352 cortex cells in the context of all cells, we isolated the cortex cells and re-performed UMAP 353 dimensionality reduction, clustering, and graph embedding (Supplemental Data Set 1). Each 354 cortex cell was assigned a developmental stage based on its Spearman's rank correlation with 355 bulk expression data (Brady et al., 2007; Cartwright et al., 2009). Cortex cells with the earliest 356 developmental signal were designated as the root of the cortex trajectory, and pseudotime was 357 assigned to the remaining cortex cells based on their distance from the root (Figure 5A-D, 358 Supplemental Figure 6). As pseudotime increased for cortex cells, so did their age, indicating 359 good agreement of the trajectory with developmental bulk RNA-seq data. Although we observed 360 some decrease in total RNA expression and increased expression in cell-type specific genes for 361 endodermis, we did not see a clear pattern of change in total RNA across cortex pseudotime 362 (Supplemental Figures 8 & 9).

363



Figure 5. Developmental trajectory of cortex cells.

(A) Cortex cells were re-clustered to create a trajectory, in which each cell was assigned a developmental time point and identity (shades of yellow, brown, pink) based on the highest Spearman's rank correlation of a cell's gene expression with prior sorted bulk data (Brady et al., 2007; Cartwright et al., 2009). (B) Comparison of pseudo-bulk expression data from cells annotated as cortex cells with bulk expression data from protoplasts sorted for expression of the cortex marker gene COR (Li et al., 2016). (C) Cells were ordered in pseudotime; columns indicate cells and rows the expression of the 1500 ordering genes. Rows were grouped based on similarity in gene expression, result-ing in 6 clusters (indicated left), with genes in clusters 2 and 3 expressed early in pseudotime and genes in cluster 1 expressed late. Cortex cells with the earliest developmental signal (Brady et al., 2007; Cartwright et al., 2009) ware designated as the rest of the trainetary. were designated as the root of the trajectory. The graph above represents the average best-correlation of developmental stage (Brady et al., 2007; Cartwright et al., 2009) in a scrolling window of 20 cells with pseudotime, showing the expected increase in developmen-tal age with increasing pseudotime. (D) Exam-ples of an early and a late expressed novel exters real encoding agence for the expression of the sector of the sec cortex-cell-specific gene. Gene expression in each cell is superimposed onto the UMAP cluster and trajectory, with lighter colors indicating higher gene expression. (E) Different transcription factor motifs reside in the 500 bp upstream regions of genes expressed early (clusters 2, 3) compared to genes expressed late (cluster 1). Transcription factor motifs specific to early cortex cells are denoted with blue bars, those for late cortex cells with green bars, bar length indicates motif frequency. Thresholds on either side (grey box, dotted lines) refer to 1.5 standard deviation above mean motif frequency. (F) Expression of individual members of transcription factors families highlighted in D across pseudotime identifies candidate factors driving early or late gene expression.

364 We asked whether we could assign the transcription factors that drive gene expression along

365 these developmental trajectories in early and late hair, cortex, and endodermis cells. As before, 366 we first analyzed transcription factor motif enrichments and then explored the expression of the 367 corresponding transcription factor gene families. Indeed, for most developmentally enriched 368 transcription factor motifs, we could pinpoint candidate transcription factors that are expressed 369 either early or late. For example, the AP2/EREBP (APETALA2/ethylene responsive element 370 binding protein) transcription factor family is one of the largest in A. thaliana (Riechmann et al., 371 2000), with nearly 80 covered in our data set; of these, only four (AT2G25820, At5G65130, 372 AT1G36060, AT1G44830) showed strong expression in late hair cells (Figure 4F, G, 373 Supplemental Figure 10). One of these, AT1G36060 (Translucent Green), regulates expression 374 of aquaporin genes (Zhu et al., 2014). Overexpression of this gene confers greater drought 375 tolerance (Zhu et al., 2014), consistent with its expression in older hair cells. Similar examples of 376 developmental stage-specific motif enrichments with corresponding transcription factor

377 expression were also found for cortex and endodermis (Figure 5E, F, Supplemental Figure 8,

378 Supplemental Figure 10).

379

380 Branch points in developmental trajectories mark developmental decisions

381 Although a developmental trajectory that reflects the differentiation from early to late cells 382 within a cell type should be branchless, we did observe some branch points, for example in 383 Louvain component 8, affording us the opportunity to explore their biological relevance. As 384 discussed, Louvain component 8 contains early non-hair cells and likely some lateral root cap 385 cells. To further explore the cells within the branch, we performed a principal graph test, 386 comparing their expression profiles to those of cells elsewhere in the cluster (Figure 6A). We 387 found that cells within the branch were significantly enriched for expression of genes involved in 388 cell plate formation, cytokinesis and cell cycle. We explored this enrichment for cell cycle 389 annotations by comparing expression of previously identified core cell cycle genes (Gutierrez, 390 2009) in cells within the branch to cells in the rest of the cluster, finding many core cell cycle 391 genes, in particular many G2 genes, to be specifically expressed in branch cells (Figure 6B). 392 Among these genes were several of the cyclin-dependent kinase B family members that direct 393 the G2 to M transition. Two cyclin-dependent kinase subunits (CKS1 and CKS2), thought to 394 interact with several *CDK* family members, were also specifically expressed in branch cells 395 (Vandepoele et al., 2002). Other branch-cell-specific genes included AUR1 and AUR2, both



(A) The 70 cells that resided in the branch of Louvain component 8 (purple) show significant branch-specific expression of genes enriched for cell cycle function. (B) Comparison of all known cell cycle genes with expression in at least 5% of cells in Louvain component 8. Known cell cycle expression is denoted for each gene, if unknown '?'. (C) Two kinases, AUR1 and AUR2, were specifically expressed in branch cells. These genes are involved in cell plate formation and lateral root formation.

involved in lateral root formation and cell plate formation (Figure 6C, Van Damme et al., 2011).

397 Louvain component 9 also showed a strong, but short branching point. We did not find any

398 biological processes enriched in genes expressed specifically in this short branch; however, one

399 gene whose expression is known to be affected by protoplasting was specifically expressed in

400 these cells, perhaps reflecting that cells within this branch were more stressed by our

- 401 experimental procedure (data not shown).
- 402

403 <u>Heat-shocked root cells show subtle expression differences among cell types</u>

404 A major question in studying plant responses to abiotic stress, such as heat or drought, is the 405 extent to which such responses are non-uniform across cell types. Canonically, the heat stress 406 response is characterized by rapid and massive up-regulation of a few loci, mostly encoding heat 407 shock proteins, with dramatic down-regulation of most other loci, in part because of altered 408 mRNA splicing and transport (Saavedra et al., 1996; Yost and Lindquist, 1986, 1988). In plants, 409 a set of 63 genes, most encoding heat shock proteins, show extreme chromatin accessibility at 410 both promoter and gene body upon heat stress, consistent with their high expression (Sullivan et 411 al., 2014). In mammals and insects, not all cells are competent to exhibit the hallmarks of the 412 heat shock response (Dura, 1981; Morange et al., 1984); specifically, cells in early embryonic 413 development fail to induce heat shock protein expression upon stress.

414

415 We explored whether all cells within developing roots were capable of exhibiting a typical heat 416 shock response. To do so, we applied a standard heat stress (45 min, 38°C) to eight-day-old 417 seedlings, harvested their roots along with roots from age- and time-matched control seedlings, 418 and generated protoplasts for single-cell RNA-seq of both samples. For the control sample, we 419 captured 1076 cells, assaying expression for a median 4,079 genes per cell and a total of 22, 971 420 genes; 82.7% of reads mapped to the TAIR10 genome assembly. The results for these control 421 cells were similar to those described earlier with regard to captured cell types, proportion of cell 422 types (e.g. 28.8% vs. 34% annotated hair cells and 9.7% vs. 7.2% endodermis cells), and correlation of gene expression ($R^2 = 0.86$ for the 21,107 genes captured in both experiments). For 423 424 the heat shock sample, we captured 1,009 cells, assaying expression for a median 4,384 genes 425 per cell and a total of 21,237 genes; 79.8% of reads mapped to the TAIR10 genome assembly. 426

427 Due to global gene expression changes upon heat shock, we could not simply assign cell and 428 tissue types as before for heat-shocked cells. The overwhelming impact of heat shock was also 429 apparent when comparing the first and second highest cell type and developmental Spearman's 430 rank correlations for control cells and heat-shocked cells. Upon heat shock, many cells, 431 especially those with non-hair, phloem and columella as their highest rank, commonly showed as 432 their second highest rank a different cell type instead of another developmental time point of the 433 same cell type as observed in control cells (Supplemental Figure 11A). Unsurprisingly, the 434 drastic changes in gene expression led to cells being embedded in UMAP space primarily as a 435 function of treatment, making direct comparisons of treatment effects on any one cell type 436 impossible (Supplemental Figure 11B). To enable such comparisons, we used a mutual nearest 437 neighbor to embed cells conditioned on treatment in UMAP space (Haghverdi et al., 2018). The 438 mutual nearest neighbor method was originally developed to account for batch effects by 439 identifying the most similar cells between each batch and applying a correction to enable proper 440 alignment of data sets. Here, we employ this technique to overcome the lack of marker 441 expression in our heat-shock treated cells and match them to their untreated counterpart based on 442 overall transcriptome similarity (Figure 7A). This procedure yielded corresponding clusters in 443 control and heat-shocked cells, albeit with varying cell numbers for most (Supplemental Figure

444 **11C, Supplemental Table 2**).

445

446 In response to stress, organisms are thought to upregulate stress genes and to specifically 447 downregulate genes involved in growth and development to optimize resource allocation. In 448 response to heat stress, this presumed 'dichotomy' in gene expression is mirrored by the rapid 449 localization of RNA polymerase II to the heat shock gene loci and its depletion elsewhere in the 450 genome (Teves and Henikoff, 2011). Our data provide strong evidence of this regulatory trade-451 off at the level of individual cells. Using hair cells (Louvain component 2) as an example, we 452 found that hair-cell-specific genes are overwhelmingly repressed and that heat shock genes are 453 upregulated, often dramatically so (Figure 7B-D). Indeed, HSP101, the most highly expressed 454 and chromatin-accessible gene upon heat shock in previous studies (Sullivan et al., 2014), was 455 strongly expressed across all clusters while expression of the hair marker gene COBL9 decreased 456 dramatically upon stress (Figure 7C, D).

457



Figure 7. Single cell RNA-seq highlights canonical and novel aspects of the heat shock response. (A) A nearest neighbor approach aligns control and heat-shocked cells in a UMAP embedding to allow for concomitant cluster/cell type assignment. (B) Volcano plots of average gene expression change upon heat shock within Louvain component 2 for all genes (black), known hair marker genes (blue) and heat-shock signature genes (red). (C) HSP101, a signature heat shock gene, shows dramatic increase of expression in all cell types upon heat shock. (D) COBL9, a well-studied hair marker gene, is strongly repressed upon heat shock. (E) Heat map of of differentially expressed genes upon heat shock (top red bar; control, top gray bar), hierarchically clustered by both cells and genes (FDR < 0.1% and absolute value of the log2 fold change > 1). (F) Upset plot (Lex et al., 2014) of the number of differentially expressed genes as a function of heat shock for each Louvain cluster in our UMAP embedding (bars on top) along with the number of the intersect of differentially expressed genes between Louvain clusters (bars on the right). A surprising number of differentially expressed genes were specific to certain clusters (single dot in vertical row of dots).

458 Having established comparable clusters, we next identified genes that were differentially

459 expressed as a function of treatment and cluster identity, excluding those with less than 15 cells 460 in either control or heat shock conditions. This analysis identified 8,526 genes (FDR < 0.1%) 461 whose expression was altered by heat shock treatment in one or more clusters; of these, 2,627 462 genes were up- or downregulated at least 2-fold (Figure 7E, Supplementary Data Set 5, FDR 463 < 0.1% and absolute value of log2 fold change > 1). As for hair cells (Figure 7B), cell-type 464 marker genes for all clusters were enriched among the downregulated genes upon heat shock. To 465 identify cluster-specific differences in the response to heat shock, we compared gene expression 466 of cells within individual clusters to the rest of the cells across treatments. We observed the 467 largest number of cluster-specific gene expression changes in hair, non-hair and cortex cells 468 (Figure 7F). As these cell types are the three outermost cell layers of the root, they may be 469 exposed more directly to the heat shock and respond more quickly. Genes differentially 470 expressed in hair cells (Louvain component 2) upon heat shock were enriched for ribosome 471 associated genes and RNA methylation. Stele cells (Louvain component 6) showed differential 472 expression of genes involved in cell wall organization and biogenesis, and endodermis cells 473 (Louvain component 4) showed differential expression of genes involved in response to external, 474 chemical and stress stimuli as well as nitrate and anion transport (Figure 7F).

475

476 The expression of heat shock proteins protects cells from heat shock and aids their recovery 477 (Parsell et al., 1993; Parsell and Lindquist, 1993; Queitsch et al., 2000). We were interested in 478 whether we could detect cluster- and cell-type-specific differences in the canonical heat shock 479 response. In principle, such differences could be exploited to alter heat shock protein expression 480 in a cell-type-specific manner to boost plant heat and drought tolerance without pleiotropically 481 decreasing whole-organism fitness. To address such possible differences, we focused on genes 482 that from bulk analyses have differential expression upon heat shock (1783 genes) or reside near 483 regulatory regions that change in accessibility upon heat shock (1730 genes) (Alexandre et al., 484 2018; Sullivan et al., 2014). Although these gene sets overlap (942 genes), they contain 485 complementary information, as changes in accessibility do not necessarily translate into altered 486 expression, and vice versa (Alexandre et al., 2018). In our single-cell expression analysis, we 487 identified 752 of 1783 heat-responsive genes as differentially expressed upon heat shock, and 488 564 of 1730 genes near dynamic regulatory regions as differentially expressed. We hierarchically 489 clustered control and heat shock-treated single-cell transcriptomes for both gene sets

- 490 (Supplemental Figure 12A, C), resulting in several gene clusters with distinct expression
- 491 patterns. Overall, cellular responses were dominated by the canonical heat-shock response, as
- 492 visualized in cluster 4 (Supplemental Figure 12A) and cluster 2 (Supplemental Figure 12C).
- 493 The 63 genes showing extreme accessibility and high expression upon heat shock (Sullivan et al.,
- 494 2014) are largely contained in these two clusters (Supplemental Figure 12A, cluster 4, 49 of 63;
- 495 Supplemental Figure 12C, cluster 2, 42 of 63).
- 496
- 497 Our analysis also revealed subtle but significant differences among some tissue types
- 498 (Supplemental Figure 12A, B, e.g. clusters 3 and 8, Supplemental Figure 12C, D, e.g. clusters
- 499 5 and 7, Supplemental Data Set 6). Although most of these gene clusters were not enriched for
- 500 specific annotations, cluster 8 genes were associated with rRNA metabolic processes (p-
- value=0.048) and cluster 5 genes (Supplemental Figure 12A, B) were enriched for transport
- 502 genes (p-value=0.045). These results demonstrate both the promise and the challenges inherent
- 503 in comparing single-cell data across different conditions and treatments.
- 504
- 505

506 **DISCUSSION**

507 Here, we use A. thaliana roots to establish both experimental and analytic procedures for single-508 cell RNA-seq in plants. Using Monocle 3, we could assign over 3000 cells to expected cell and 509 tissue types with high confidence. In particular, cortex, endodermis and hair cells were easily 510 identified. However, distinguishing other cell types was challenging. For example, non-hair and 511 columella cells had high similarity in their expression profiles, consistent with their correlation in 512 bulk expression data (Brady et al., 2007; Cartwright et al., 2009). Similarly, it was difficult to 513 designate cells in Louvain component 8 as early non-hair cells, as these cells showed 514 overlapping expression signatures for early non-hair cells, lateral root caps, and epidermis cells 515 before differentiation to hair and non-hair cells. These Louvain component 8 cells were difficult 516 to distinguish further with the sparse expression data typical for single cell analysis, however we 517 postulate that in fact the branch of component 8 may actually be the root of the trajectory and are 518 cells dividing out of the epidermis/root cap precursor and cells either become root cap cells or 519 epidermis.

520

521 We also could not initially split stele tissue into individual cell types, likely because the 522 difficulty of digesting the cell walls of the tightly packed vascular bundle resulted in fewer cells 523 than expected (Brady et al., 2007; Cartwright et al., 2009). However, analyzing stele cells 524 separately yielded 6 sub-clusters, which correspond to known vasculature cell types. Our 525 approach to annotate these sub-clusters exemplifies the ad hoc nature of current single-cell 526 genomics studies, which require all available sources of information to be exploited to interpret 527 the genomic data. Neither Spearman rank correlations with sorted bulk RNA-seq data nor 528 microarray expression data yielded obvious cluster identities. However, mean expression values 529 of genes known to be expressed in vasculature cell types allowed us to assign the stele sub-530 clusters.

531

We identified hundreds of novel genes with cell-type-specific and tissue-type-specific expression, which may allow the generation of new marker lines for detailed genetic analyses. These genes, together with cluster-specific enriched transcription factor motifs and their corresponding transcription factors, are candidates for driving differentiation and cell-type identity. Similarly, the developmental trajectories we identified highlight the potential of single

cell transcriptomics to advance a high resolution view of plant development. These trajectories can be detected without the use of spatial information because plants have a continuous body plan with new cells continuously arising while older cells persist. Additionally, while this study allowed us to infer transcription factor motifs and candidate transcription factors, future analyses with greater numbers of cells than assayed here may include combinatorial expression of multiple transcription factor family members.

543

544 We explored the relationships of endoreduplication, transcriptional rates, and 545 differentiation to find that transcriptional rates, measured as mRNA velocity, increase with 546 increasing ploidy. However, this transcriptional increase appears to be limited to genes 547 specifically expressed in hair cells, as overall levels of RNA decreased over pseudotime. These 548 observations are consistent with hair cells becoming more specialized and moving towards a 549 terminally differentiated state over time. However, this phenomenon of increasing specialization 550 was not as apparent in other cell types. This difference may be due to biological causes, such as 551 the higher rates of endoreduplication in hair cells, or to technical causes, such as the better 552 clustering and trajectory of hair cells compared to the other cell types assayed.

553

554 By allowing trajectories with side branches, we discovered that branch points can mark 555 developmental decisions. In Louvain component 8, the small but distinct cell-cycle enriched 556 branch may mark lateral root primordia cells differentiating into epidermal cells or 557 epidermal/lateral root precursor cells. Cells within this branch express many cell cycle genes, 558 among them members of the CDKB family that govern the G2 to M transition. Moreover, these 559 cells specifically express the AUR1 and AUR2 genes, which function in cell plate formation; 560 plants with mutations in these genes lack lateral roots (Van Damme et al., 2011). Although 561 expression of cell cycle genes may persist in non-dividing cells because of their roles in 562 endoreduplication, AUR1 and AUR2 expression (and cell plate formation) should not persist, 563 consistent with our speculation that the cells within this branch are actively dividing cells in the 564 G2 to M transition (Gutierrez, 2009).

565

566 We explored the *A. thaliana* heat shock response with single-cell RNA-seq because not 567 all cells and tissues are equally competent to respond to stress. By identifying plant cell types

568 that most strongly respond to abiotic stresses such as heat, drought, and nutrient starvation, 569 ultimately we may be able to genetically manipulate relevant cell types to generate stress-tolerant 570 crops without pleiotropically affecting plant fitness and yield. Although all heat-shocked cells 571 showed gene expression changes typical of the canonical heat shock genes, we detected subtle 572 but highly significant expression differences among cells and tissue types for other genes. Thus, 573 single-cell transcriptomics across stress conditions holds potential for future crop breeding and 574 genetic engineering. However, such analyses require much larger numbers of cells than currently 575 accessible by droplet-based methods. Moreover, such analyses should focus on treatments that 576 are less overwhelmed by a strong canonical signal to increase resolution in detecting cell-type-577 specific differences.

578

In this study, we relied on the extensive and detailed expression data for bulk *A. thaliana* cell and tissue types to establish the validity of our approaches. The overwhelming correspondence of our findings with these and other data derived from traditional molecular genetics provides confidence that less well-characterized *A. thaliana* tissues and other plants, including crops, will be amenable to these approaches. Thus, continued progress on single-cell RNA-seq experiments should have a major impact on the analysis of plant development and environmental response.

586

587

588

589 METHODS

590 Plant Material and Growth Conditions. Arabidopsis thaliana Col-0 seedlings were grown
591 vertically at 22°C, on 1xMS + 1% sucrose plates covered with one layer of filter paper. Seven or

eight days-old seedlings (LD, 16h light/8h dark, \sim 100 μ mol m2 s) were collected around ZT3,

and the roots/shoots excised with a sharp razor blade. For the heat-shock, seedling plates were

594 transferred from 22°C to 38°C for 45 min (Conviron TC-26, light ~100 μmol m2 s), and the roots

595 harvested immediately after.

596

597 **Protoplast Isolation.** Protoplast isolation was done as previously described (Bargmann and

598 Birnbaum, 2010), with slight modifications. Briefly, 1 g of whole-roots was incubated in 10 ml

599 of protoplasting solution for 1.5 h at 75 rpm. After passing through a 40 µm strainer, protoplasts

600 were centrifuged at 500 g for 5 min and washed once in protoplasting solution without enzymes.

601 Final suspension volume was adjusted to a density of 500 - 1,000 cells/µl. Protoplasts were

602 placed on ice until further processing.

603

604 Single-cell RNA-seq protocol

605 Single-cell RNA-seq was performed on fresh Arabidopsis root protoplast using the10X scRNA-

seq platform, the Chromium Single Cell Gene Expression Solution (10X Genomics).

607

608 Data Analysis

609 Estimating gene expression in individual cells

610 Single-cell RNA-seq reads were sequenced and then mapped to the TAIR10 Arabidopsis genome

611 using Cellranger (version 2.1.0) (https://support.10xgenomics.com/single-cell-gene-

612 expression/software/pipelines/latest/what-is-cell-ranger). Cellranger produces a matrix of UMI

613 counts where each row is a gene and each column represents a cell. The ARAPORT gene

614 annotation was used. For the heat shock analysis, reads from a control sample and reads from

615 heat-shocked sample were aggregated using "cellranger aggr" to normalize libraries to

616 equivalent number of mean reads per cell across libraries.

617

618 Running Monocle 3: Dimensionality Reduction, and Cell Clustering The output of the cellranger

619 pipeline was parsed into R (version 3.5.0) using the cellranger R kit (version 2.0.0) and

620 converted into a CellDataSet (cds) for further analysis using Monocle 3 alpha (version 2.99.1)

621 (http://cole-trapnell-lab.github.io/monocle-release/monocle3/). All Monocle 3 analysis was

622 performed on a High Performance Computing cluster using 128GB of RAM spread across 8

623 cores. The lower detection limit for the cds was set at 0.5, and the expression family used set to

624 negbinomial.size().

625

626 We visualized cell clusters and trajectories using the standard Monocle workflow. Monocle 627 internally handles all normalization needed for dimensionality reduction, visualization, and 628 differential expression via "size factors" that control for variability in library construction 629 efficiency across cells. After estimating the library size factors for each cell (via 630 estimateSizeFactors), and estimating the dispersion in expression for each gene (via 631 estimateDispersions) in the dataset, the top 1500 genes in terms of dispersion, *i.e.* 1500 genes 632 with the most expression variability in our dataset, were selected to order the cells into clusters. 633 The expression values of these 1500 genes for each cell were log-transformed and projected onto 634 the first 25 principal components via Monocle's data pre-processing function (preprocessCDS). 635 Then, these lower-dimensional coordinates were used to initialize a nonlinear manifold learning 636 algorithm implemented in Monocle 3 called Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection, or 637 UMAP (via reduceDimension) (McInnes and Healy, 2018). This allows us to visualize the data 638 unto two or three dimensions. Specifically, we projected onto 2 components using the cosine 639 distance metric, setting the parameters "n neighbors" to 50, and "min dist" to 0.1. 640 641 The Louvain method was used to detect cell clusters in our two dimensional representation of the

642 dataset (partitionCells); this resulted in 11 cell clusters, or Louvain components. Cells were then

643 clustered into "super" groups using a method derived from "approximate graph abstraction"

644 (Wolf et al., 2018) and for each super group, a cell trajectory was drawn atop the projection

645 using Monocle's reversed graph embedding algorithm, which is derived from "SimplePPT"

646 (learnGraph) (Mao et al., 2015). This yielded 6 cell trajectories.

647

To further analyze the clusters we annotated as stele, Clusters 3, 4, and 7 were reclustered

649 together and were reanalyzed using Monocle 3 as previously described except the parameter

- 650 "min_dist" was changed to 0.05 when the reduceDimension function was called. This revealed 6
- 651 additional sub clusters.
- 652
- To further analyze the cluster we annotated as cortex, Cluster 10 was reclustered and reanalyzed
- using Monocle 3 as previously described except the parameters "n_neighbors" was reduced to
- 655 25. This did not reveal any sub clusters, but a trajectory was generated.
- 656

657 Estimating doublets

- 658 Single-Cell Remover of Doublets (Scrublet) was used to predict doublets in our scRNA-seq data
- 659 (Available at: https://github.com/AllonKleinLab/scrublet). Using Python 3.5, Scrublet was ran
- 660 using default settings as described by the example tutorial which is available as a python
- 661 notebook (Available at:
- 662 <u>https://github.com/AllonKleinLab/scrublet/blob/master/examples/scrublet_basics.ipynb</u>). The
- only significant change was that expected double rate was set to 0.1, in the tutorial it is 0.06.
- 665 Identifying Cell Types

666 In order to categorize the cells into cell types and to apply developmental information, a

- 667 deconvolved root expression map was downloaded from AREX LITE: The <u>Arabidopsis Gene</u>
- 668 <u>Expression Database (http://www.arexdb.org/data/decondatamatrix.zip)</u>. Using this data matrix,
- the Spearman's rank correlation was calculated between each cell in our dataset and each cell
- type and longitudinal annotation in the data matrix (3121 x 128 Spearman's rank correlations
- total). Specifically, we looked at the correlation of 1229 highly variable genes in our dataset.
- These 1229 genes represents the overlap between our 1500 highly variable genes and genes in
- the root expression map data matrix. Cells in our dataset were assigned a cell type and a
- 674 developmental label based on the annotation with which each cell had the highest correlation.
- 675 (*i.e.* if a cell correlated highest with endodermis cells in longitudinal zone 11, then it would be
- 676 called as endodermis_11).
- 677

678 In addition to using the Spearman's rank correlation to assign cells their cell type, a set of known

679 marker genes derived from GFP marker lines of the Arabidopsis root were used to identify cell

680 types based on the high gene expression of these marker genes. These genes were obtained from

(Brady et al., 2007; Cartwright et al., 2009). Specifically Supplemental Table 2 (Cartwright et al., 2009) was used. For the analysis comparing bulk RNA and pseudo bulk scRNA-seq data, the bulk data was obtained from Li et al. 2016 (Li et al., 2016); specifically, we used Table S5 from this study. Isoforms of each gene were averaged in order to be comparable to the pseudo bulk data. Lastly, using this same bulk RNA-seq data, the Pearson correlation was calculated between each cell in our dataset and each GFP marker line. Cells in our dataset were assigned to a GFP marker line based on the GFP marker line with which each cell had the highest correlation.

688

689 Running Monocle 3: Identifying High Specificity Genes

690 In order to identify differentially expressed genes between cell clusters the Moran's I test was

691 performed on our UMAP (principalGraphTest), with the projection being broken up into 25 x 25

692 spatial units. Then marker genes were identified for each cluster, and each annotated grouping of

693 clusters using a Moran's I threshold of 0.1 and a qval threshold of 0.05. In order for a gene to be

694 considered highly specific, it must have had a specificity rating of above 0.7.

695

696 Transcription factor motif analysis

697 Highly specific genes were identified for each cell cluster, and their promoters were analyzed for 698 presence of transcription factor motifs. Promoters were defined as 500 base pairs upstream of the 699 start site of each gene. Instances of each motif were identified using (Grant et al., 2011) at a p-700 value cutoff of 1e-5 for each match. The input position weight matrices for each motif were 701 enumerated in a previous study of binding preferences for nearly all Arabidopsis transcription 702 factors (O'Malley et al., 2016). Motif frequencies in genes specific to each cell cluster were 703 compared to a background set of motif frequencies across all promoters in the Arabidopsis 704 genome to determine a log2 enrichment score. TF family genes were pulled from the gene family 705 page of TAIR10 (https://www.arabidopsis.org/browse/genefamily/index.jsp).

706

707 Running Monocle 3: Assigning Pseudotime

708 Pseudotime analysis requires the selection of a cell as an "origin" for the pseudotime trajectory.

709 Origin assignment was based on the Spearman's rank assignments for each cell. The following

710 cells were used as origins for their respective cell type trajectories: cortex_2, hair_2,

711 endodermis 2, nonHair 3. The get correct root state() function was used to assign the root of a 712 trajectory, and the orderCells() function was used to assign cells a pseudotime value. 713 714 Calculating total mRNA 715 After pseudotime analysis was performed on a cell cluster, cells were binned together such that 716 each bin contained a similar number of cells and each bin represented cells from similar 717 pseudotimes. The median total mRNA and the standard deviation of the total mRNA of each bin 718 was then calculated. 719 720 721 Calculating significance with the Permutation Test

722 The permutation test was used to calculate the significance of the observed trends that the total 723 mRNA of hair marker genes and hair specific genes increased as pseudotime increased in hair 724 cells. To do this, 10000 random samplings of 441 genes (the number of hair marker genes), and 725 201 genes (the number of hair specific genes) were taken respectively. Next, the median total 726 mRNA was calculated across pseudotime for each random sampling and the slope of this data 727 was calculated using a generalized linear model. The observed slope of the marker genes and the 728 hair specific genes was compared to the distribution of slopes generated by 10000 random 729 samplings. No random sampling of genes had a slope that was higher than the observed slopes 730 generated by the hair marker genes or the hair specific genes. The significance, or the p-value, of 731 the trend seen in the hair marker genes and the hair specific genes can then be calculated simply 732 as the proportion of sampled permutations that have a slope that is equal to or greater than slope generated by our genes of interest. This gives us a p-value of 1/10001 or roughly 1 x 10^{-4} . 733

734

735 Analyzing Expression Differences Between Branches of Louvain Component 8 (Early Non-Hair)

736 To identify genes responsible for the branching in the pseudotime trajectory of Louvain

component 8 (early non-hair), the principal graph test was used to identify genes with expression

738 specific to the side branch vs. the main branch. Genes were considered specific if it had a

range specificity value above 0.8. Genes were removed from the analysis if they did not have

expression in at least 10% of the cells considered and a mean expression greater than 0.25.

741

742 Calculating RNA velocity

743 We used the Velocyto R and Python packages (version 0.6 and 0.17, respectively) to estimate 744 RNA velocity for root hair cells (La Manno et al., 2018). Matrices of spliced and unspliced RNA 745 counts were generated from Cellranger outputs using velocyto.py CLI and "run10x" defaults. We 746 followed the velocyto.py and velocyto.R manuals (http://velocyto.org/) and used spliced (emat) 747 and unspliced (nmat) matrices to estimate RNA velocity. With predefined cell type annotations, 748 we performed gene filtering with the parameter "min.max.cluster.average" set to 0.2 and 0.05 for 749 "emat" and "nmat", respectively. RNA velocity using the selected 996 genes was estimated with 750 the defaults to the function gene.relative.velocity.estimates() except parameters "kCells" and 751 "fit.quantile" which were set to 5 and 0.05, respectively. Velocity measurements for each cell 752 were calculated as the difference between "projected" and "current" (with deltaT = 1) results 753 from the estimated velocity output.

754

755 Analysis of heat shock data

756 For each pair of cell types and for each gene cluster, we used a generalized linear model to 757 determine the significance of an interaction between the effects of cell type and heat treatment on 758 the normalized expression level of genes in that cluster. Then, to identify differentially expressed 759 genes specific for every Louvain cluster we subsetted cells from every cluster that contained 15 760 or more cells in both control and treated conditions, estimated dispersions for each subset and 761 tested for differential gene expression identified using the differentialGeneTest function in 762 Monocle specifying a full model of Treatment cluster and a residual model of 1. FDR values per 763 gene were then obtained across all tests using the Bejamini-Hochberg method. The overlap of 764 differentially expressed genes as a function of heatshock treatment between clusters was 765 visualized using an UpsetR plot. Briefly, a binary matrix of differentially expressed genes by 766 cluster was generated were gene-cluster combinations were set to 1 (significant) or 0 (not 767 significant). This matrix was then passed to the upset function from the UpsetR R package 768 specifying 9 sets and ordering by frequency. To identify whether clusters contained subtle 769 differences in the expression of previously identified heat shock responsive genes we tested for 770 differential gene expression across all cells and clusters and identified the intersect between 771 differentially expressed genes obtained from single cell profiles and previously identified 772 dynamic changes in DHS linked genes and bulk differentially expressed genes upon heat shock.

- 773 Differentially expressed genes as a function of heat-shock treatment for all cells in unison where
- identified using the differentialGeneTest function in Monocle specifying a full model of
- 775 Treatment*UMAP cluster and a residual model of UMAP cluster. Hierarchical clustering of
- these DHS linked and bulk differentially expressed gene sets across control and heat-shock
- treated cells was performed using the pheatmap function in the pheatmap R package (version
- 1.0.10) specifying ward.D2 as the clustering method. Genes with similar dynamics across
- treatment and cell types were recovered using the cutree function from the stats package in R
- specifying k = 8 for both DHS linked genes and bulk differentially expressed genes. To generate
- 781 signatures from these 8 groups of clustered genes we log normalized expression values using a
- pseudocount of 1 and for each cell calculated the mean normalized expression value across genes
- that belong to one of the 8 gene cluster.
- 784

785 Data Availability

- 786 All sequencing data can be found on GEO at:
- 787 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE121619
- 788 Supplemental Data
- 789 Supplemental Figure 1: General tissue and data features
- 790 Supplemental Figure 2: Pearson correlation to sorted RNA-seq samples
- 791 Supplemental Figure 3: Marker gene expression in cell type clusters
- 792 Supplemental Figure 4: Examples of tissue-specific gene expression
- 793 Supplemental Figure 5: Transcription factor family expression patterns
- 794 Supplemental Figure 6: Spearman's rank correlation for each cell's development and tissue-
- 795 type
- 796 Supplemental Figure 7: Changes in transcription across hair development
- 797 Supplemental Figure 8: Developmental trajectory of endodermal cells
- 798 Supplemental Figure 9: Total RNA in cortex across pseudotime
- 799 Supplemental Figure 10: Developmental expression of individual transcription factors
- 800 Supplemental Figure 11: Heat-shock clustering and expression profiling
- 801 Supplemental Figure 12: Conditional expression in genes with dynamic chromatin accessibility
- 802 during heat-shock
- 803 Supplemental Table 1: Bulk RNA-seq comparisons to single cell RNA-seq

- 804 Supplemental Table 2: Number of cells in the control vs. heatshock analysis
- 805 Supplemental Data Set 1: List of Ordering/ High Dispersion Genes
- 806 Supplemental Data Set 2: Correlation with Bulk Expression Data
- 807 Supplemental Data Set 3: Marker Genes
- 808 Supplemental Data Set 4: Novel High Specificity Genes
- 809 Supplemental Data Set 5: Cluster Specific Heat shock Differentially Expressed Genes
- 810 Supplemental Data Set 6: Generalized Linear Model pairwise test of significance between
- 811 cortex, hair, and non-hair cells
- 812

813 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 814 We thank members of the Trapnell Lab for input and discussion. This work was supported by
- 815 NSF MCB-1516701 to CQ and NSF RESEARCH-PGR 1748843 to CQ and SF. KJB was
- supported by the University of Washington NIH Big Data for Genomics and Neuroscience
- 817 Training Grant (T32LM012419). NIH grant U54DK107979 to CT; NIH grant DP2HD088158,
- 818 RC2DK114777 and R01HL118342 to CT; and the Paul G. Allen Frontiers Group to CT.

819

820

Parsed Citations

Alexandre, C.M., Urton, J.R., Jean-Baptiste, K., Huddleston, J., Dorrity, M.W., Cuperus, J.T., Sullivan, A.M., Bemm, F., Jolic, D., Arsovski, A.A., et al. (2018). Complex Relationships between Chromatin Accessibility, Sequence Divergence, and Gene Expression in Arabidopsis thaliana. Mol Biol Evol 35, 837-854.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Bargmann, B.O.R. and Birnbaum, K.D. (2010). Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorting of Plant Protoplasts. J. Vis. Exp.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Bennett, T., van den Toorn, A, Sanchez-Perez, G.F., Campilho, A, Willemsen, V., Snel, B., and Scheres, B. (2010). SOMBRERO, BEARSKIN1, and BEARSKIN2 regulate root cap maturation in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 22, 640-654.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Bhosale, R., Boudolf, V., Cuevas, F., Lu, R., Eekhout, T., Hu, Z, van Isterdael, G., Lambert, G., Xu, F., Nowack, M.K., et al. (2018). A spatiotemporal DNA endoploidy map of the Arabidopsis root reveals roles for the endocycle in root development and stress adaptation. Plant Cell.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Birnbaum, K., Shasha, D.E., Wang, J.Y., Jung, J.W., Lambert, G.M., Galbraith, D.W., and Benfey, P.N. (2003). Agene expression map of the Arabidopsis root. Science 302, 1956-1960.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Blondel, V.D., Guillaume, J.-L., Lambiotte, R., and Lefebvre, E. (2008). Fast unfolding of communities in large networks. Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2008.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Bourdon, M., Pirrello, J., Cheniclet, C., Coriton, O., Bourge, M., Brown, S., Moise, A., Peypelut, M., Rouyere, V., Renaudin, J.P., et al. (2012). Evidence for karyoplasmic homeostasis during endoreduplication and a ploidy-dependent increase in gene transcription during tomato fruit growth. Development 139, 3817-3826.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Brady, S.M., Orlando, D.A., Lee, J.Y., Wang, J.Y., Koch, J., Dinneny, J.R., Mace, D., Ohler, U., and Benfey, P.N. (2007). A high-resolution root spatiotemporal map reveals dominant expression patterns. Science 318, 801-806.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Brennecke, P., Anders, S., Kim, J.K., Kołodziejczyk, AA, Zhang, X., Proserpio, V., Baying, B., Benes, V., Teichmann, S.A, Marioni, J.C., and Heisler, M.G. (2013). Accounting for technical noise in single-cell RNA-seq experiments. Nat. Methods 10: 1093–1095.

Pubmed: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Cao, J., Packer, J.S., Ramani, V., Cusanovich, D.A., Huynh, C., Daza, R., Qiu, X., Lee, C., Furlan, S.N., Steemers, F.J., et al. (2017). Comprehensive single-cell transcriptional profiling of a multicellular organism. Science 357, 661-667.

Pubmed: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Cartwright, D.A., Brady, S.M., Orlando, D.A., Sturmfels, B., and Benfey, P.N. (2009). Reconstructing spatiotemporal gene expression data from partial observations. Bioinformatics 25, 2581-2587.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Van Damme, D., De Rybel, B., Gudesblat, G., Demidov, D., Grunewald, W., De Smet, I., Houben, A., Beeckman, T., and Russinova, E. (2011). Arabidopsis α Aurora kinases function in formative cell division plane orientation. Plant Cell 23: 4013–24.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Dura, J.M. (1981). Stage dependent synthesis of heat shock induced proteins in early embryos of Drosophila melanogaster. Mol Gen Genet 184, 381-385.

Pubmed: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Efroni, I., Ip, P.-L., Nawy, T., Mello, A, and Birnbaum, K.D. (2015). Quantification of cell identity from single-cell gene expression profiles. Genome Biol. 16: 9.

Pubmed: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Efroni, I., Mello, A., Nawy, T., Ip, P.-L., Rahni, R., DelRose, N., Powers, A., Satija, R., and Birnbaum, K.D. (2016). Root Regeneration Triggers an Embryo-like Sequence Guided by Hormonal Interactions. Cell 165: 1721–1733.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Grant, C.E., Bailey, T.L., and Noble, W.S. (2011). FIMO: scanning for occurrences of a given motif. Bioinformatics 27, 1017-1018. Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u>

Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Gutierrez, C. (2009). The Arabidopsis cell division cycle. Arab. B. 7: e0120.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Haghverdi, L., Lun, AT.L., Morgan, M.D., and Marioni, J.C. (2018). Batch effects in single-cell RNA-sequencing data are corrected by matching mutual nearest neighbors. Nat Biotechnol 36, 421-427.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Irish, V.F. (1991). Cell lineage in plant development. Curr Opin Cell Biol 3, 983-987.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Katayama, H., Iwamoto, K., Kariya, Y., Asakawa, T., Kan, T., Fukuda, H., and Ohashi-Ito, K. (2015). A Negative Feedback Loop Controlling bHLH Complexes Is Involved in Vascular Cell Division and Differentiation in the Root Apical Meristem. Curr Biol 25, 3144-3150.

Pubmed: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Kieffer, M., Master, V., Waites, R., and Davies, B. (2011). TCP14 and TCP15 affect internode length and leaf shape in Arabidopsis. Plant J 68, 147-158.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

La Manno, G. et al. (2018). RNA velocity of single cells. Nature 560: 494–498. Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Lachowiec, J., Lemus, T., Thomas, J.H., Murphy, P.J., Nemhauser, J.L., and Queitsch, C. (2013). The protein chaperone HSP90 can facilitate the divergence of gene duplicates. Genetics 193, 1269-1277.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lachowiec, J., Mason, G.A, Schultz, K., and Queitsch, C. (2016). Redundancy, feedback, and robustness in the Arabidopsis thaliana BZR/BEH gene family. bioRxiv.

Pubmed: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lachowiec, J., Mason, G.A, Schultz, K., and Queitsch, C. (2018). Redundancy, feedback, and robustness in the Arabidopsis thaliana BZR/BEH gene family. bioRxiv 053447; doi: https://doiorg/101101/053447.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Lan, X., and Pritchard, J.K. (2016). Coregulation of tandem duplicate genes slows evolution of subfunctionalization in mammals. Science 352, 1009-1013.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Lee, C., Teng, Q., Zhong, R., and Ye, Z.H. (2012). Arabidopsis GUX proteins are glucuronyltransferases responsible for the addition of glucuronic acid side chains onto xylan. Plant Cell Physiol 53, 1204-1216.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Lex, A, Gehlenborg, N., Strobelt, H., Vuillemot, R., and Pfister, H. (2014). UpSet: Visualization of Intersecting Sets. IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph. 20: 1983–92.

Pubmed: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Li, S., Yamada, M., Han, X., Ohler, U., and Benfey, P.N. (2016). High-Resolution Expression Map of the Arabidopsis Root Reveals Alternative Splicing and lincRNA Regulation. Dev Cell 39, 508-522.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Lucero, L.E., Uberti-Manassero, N.G., Arce, A.L., Colombatti, F., Alemano, S.G., and Gonzalez, D.H. (2015). TCP15 modulates cytokinin and auxin responses during gynoecium development in Arabidopsis. Plant J 84, 267-282.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Mao, Q., Yang, L., Wang, L., Goodison, S., and Sun, Y. (2015). Simple PPT: A Simple Principal Tree Algorithm Proceedings of the 2015 SIAM International Conference on Data Mining, 792–800.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

McInnes, L., and Healy, J. (2018). UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection for Dimension Reduction. ArXiv e-prints 180203426.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only</u> <u>Title Only</u> <u>Author and Title</u>

Morange, M., Diu, A, Bensaude, O., and Babinet, C. (1984). Altered expression of heat shock proteins in embryonal carcinoma and mouse early embryonic cells. Mol Cell Biol 4, 730-735.

Pubmed: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Mortimer, J.C., Miles, G.P., Brown, D.M., Zhang, Z., Segura, M.P., Weimar, T., Yu, X., Seffen, K.A., Stephens, E., Turner, S.R., et al. (2010). Absence of branches from xylan in Arabidopsis gux mutants reveals potential for simplification of lignocellulosic biomass. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107, 17409-17414.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

O'Malley, R.C., Huang, S.C., Song, L., Lewsey, M.G., Bartlett, A, Nery, J.R., Galli, M., Gallavotti, A, and Ecker, J.R. (2016). Cistrome and Epicistrome Features Shape the Regulatory DNA Landscape. Cell 165, 1280-1292.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Ohashi-Ito, K., Saegusa, M., Iwamoto, K., Oda, Y., Katayama, H., Kojima, M., Sakakibara, H., and Fukuda, H. (2014). AbHLH complex activates vascular cell division via cytokinin action in root apical meristem. Curr Biol 24, 2053-2058.

Pubmed: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Packer, J., and Trapnell, C. (2018). Single-Cell Multi-omics: An Engine for New Quantitative Models of Gene Regulation. Trends Genet 34, 653-665.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Parsell, D.A. and Lindquist, S. (1993). The Function of Heat-Shock Proteins in Stress Tolerance: Degradation and Reactivation of Damaged Proteins. Annu. Rev. Genet. 27: 437–496.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Parsell, D.A., Taulien, J., and Lindquist, S. (1993). The role of heat-shock proteins in thermotolerance. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London. Ser. B Biol. Sci. 339: 279–286.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Peng, Y., Chen, L., Lu, Y., Wu, Y., Dumenil, J., Zhu, Z., Bevan, M.W., and Li, Y. (2015). The ubiquitin receptors DA1, DAR1, and DAR2 redundantly regulate endoreduplication by modulating the stability of TCP14/15 in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 27, 649-662.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only</u> <u>Author and Title</u>

Petricka, J.J., Winter, C.M., and Benfey, P.N. (2012). Control of Arabidopsis root development. Annu Rev Plant Biol 63, 563-590. Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Plant Illustrations Shoot illustrations.

Qiu, X., Hill, A., Packer, J., Lin, D., Ma, Y.A., and Trapnell, C. (2017a). Single-cell mRNA quantification and differential analysis with Census. Nat Methods 14, 309-315. Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Qiu, X., Mao, Q., Tang, Y., Wang, L., Chawla, R., Pliner, H.A., and Trapnell, C. (2017b). Reversed graph embedding resolves complex single-cell trajectories. Nat Methods 14, 979-982. Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u>

Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Queitsch, C., Hong, S.W., Vierling, E., and Lindquist, S. (2000). Heat shock protein 101 plays a crucial role in thermotolerance in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 12: 479–492.

Pubmed: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Resentini, F., Felipo-Benavent, A, Colombo, L., Blazquez, M.A., Alabadi, D., and Masiero, S. (2015). TCP14 and TCP15 mediate the promotion of seed germination by gibberellins in Arabidopsis thaliana. Mol Plant 8, 482-485.

Pubmed: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Riechmann, J.L., Heard, J., Martin, G., Reuber, L., Jiang, C., Keddie, J., Adam, L., Pineda, O., Ratcliffe, O.J., Samaha, R.R., et al. (2000). Arabidopsis transcription factors: genome-wide comparative analysis among eukaryotes. Science 290, 2105-2110.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Rogers, E.D., and Benfey, P.N. (2015). Regulation of plant root system architecture: implications for crop advancement. Curr Opin Biotechnol 32, 93-98.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Russell, AB., Trapnell, C., and Bloom, J.D. (2018). Extreme heterogeneity of influenza virus infection in single cells. Elife 7.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Saavedra, C., Tung, K.S., Amberg, D.C., Hopper, A.K., and Cole, C.N. (1996). Regulation of mRNA export in response to stress in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genes Dev 10, 1608-1620.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Sullivan, AM., Arsovski, AA, Lempe, J., Bubb, K.L., Weirauch, M.T., Sabo, P.J., Sandstrom, R., Thurman, R.E., Neph, S., Reynolds, AP., et al. (2014). Mapping and dynamics of regulatory DNA and transcription factor networks in A thaliana. Cell Rep 8, 2015-2030.

Pubmed: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Tan, T.T., Endo, H., Sano, R., Kurata, T., Yamaguchi, M., Ohtani, M., and Demura, T. (2018). Transcription Factors VND1-VND3 Contribute to Cotyledon Xylem Vessel Formation. Plant Physiol 176, 773-789.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Tatematsu, K., Nakabayashi, K., Kamiya, Y., and Nambara, E. (2008). Transcription factor AtTCP14 regulates embryonic growth potential during seed germination in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J 53, 42-52.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Teves, S.S. and Henikoff, S. (2011). Heat shock reduces stalled RNA polymerase II and nucleosome turnover genome-wide. Genes Dev. 25: 2387–2397.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Trapnell, C. (2015). Defining cell types and states with single-cell genomics. Genome Res 25, 1491-1498.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Trapnell, C., Cacchiarelli, D., Grimsby, J., Pokharel, P., Li, S., Morse, M., Lennon, N.J., Livak, K.J., Mikkelsen, T.S., and Rinn, J.L. (2014). The dynamics and regulators of cell fate decisions are revealed by pseudotemporal ordering of single cells. Nat Biotechnol 32, 381-386.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Winter, D., Vinegar, B., Nahal, H., Ammar, R., Wilson, G.V., and Provart, N.J. (2007). An "Electronic Fluorescent Pictograph" browser for exploring and analyzing large-scale biological data sets. PLoS One 2, e718.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Wolf, F.A., Hamey, F., Plass, M., Solana, J., Dahlin, J.S., Gottgens, B., Rajewsky, N., Simon, L., and Theis, F.J. (2018). Graph abstraction reconciles clustering with trajectory inference through a topology preserving map of single cells. bioRxiv, doi: https://doiorg/101101/208819

Pubmed: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Wolock, S.L., Lopez, R., and Klein, A.M. (2018). Scrublet: computational identification of cell doublets in single-cell transcriptomic data. bioRxiv: 357368.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Vandepoele, K., Raes, J., De Veylder, L., Rouzé, P., Rombauts, S., and Inzé, D. (2002). Genome-wide analysis of core cell cycle genes in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 14: 903–16.

Pubmed: Author and Title Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Yost, H.J., and Lindquist, S. (1986). RNA splicing is interrupted by heat shock and is rescued by heat shock protein synthesis. Cell 45, 185-193.

Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u> Google Scholar: <u>Author Only Title Only Author and Title</u>

Yost, H.J., and Lindquist, S. (1988). Translation of unspliced transcripts after heat shock. Science 242, 1544-1548. Pubmed: Author and Title

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Zhu, D., Wu, Z., Cao, G., Li, J., Wei, J., Tsuge, T., Gu, H., Aoyama, T., and Qu, L.J. (2014). TRANSLUCENT GREEN, an ERF family transcription factor, controls water balance in Arabidopsis by activating the expression of aquaporin genes. Mol Plant 7, 601-615. Pubmed: <u>Author and Title</u>

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title